

DOE/RFO
CORRESPONDENCE
INCOMING LETTER

94 DOE 02973

MAIL ROOM

ACTION 3/3/94

DUE DATE

LTR ENC

SILVERMAN, M.N.	
PAUOLE, A.H.	
BROCKMAN, D.A.	
BUTLER, R.A.	
CANNODE, G.R.	
HARTMAN, J.K.	
BRAINARD-JORDAN, B.	
KAROL, M.S.	
LEWIS, L.	
LINDSAY, D.	
McBRIDE, M.	
ROBERSON, J.M.	X
RUSCITTO, D.	
SARGENT, D.W.	
SIMONSON, D.P.	
SMITH, L.W.	
ADAMS, J.	
ANDERSON, T.W.	
COTE, P.	
CRAUN, R.	
DUFFY, G.G.	
GRETHEL, T.	
HARGREAVES, M.	
HICKS, D.A.	
HOFFMAN, R.	
LEVERNIER, R.	
LOCKHART, F.R.	X
LOPEZ, A.	
MALCHESKI, D.	
McCORMICK, M.S.	
MILLER, H.G.	
NISHIMOTO, G.	
OLINGER, S.	
PIETSCH, E.	
RAMPE, J.	
RASK, W.C.	
REECE, R.	
SCHASSBURGER, R.	X
SELAN, J.	X
STEWART, J.	
WALLIN, B.	
REEVES	X

D/E X
RECORDS X X

NOTE:

RECEIVED FOR ADDRESSEE

BY: DATE:

MR 3/3/94

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION VIII

999 18th STREET - SUITE 500
DENVER, COLORADO 80202-2466

94 MAR 2 AM 10 54

FEB 25 1994



000020543

f: 8HWM-FF

r. Richard Schassburger
Department of Energy
Rocky Flats Office
P.O. Box 928
Golden, Colorado 80402-0928

E: Operable Unit 1 Extension Request

Dear Mr. Schassburger:

This is in response to your letter of February 8, 1994, in which DOE requested extensions to the Interagency Agreement (IA) Table 6 milestones for Operable Unit 1 (OU 1). EPA and CDH find good cause to grant an extension to the schedule primarily based on the last reason cited, which is the need to incorporate recent efforts to develop a consistent, programmatic approach for conducting CMS/FS across all OUs at Rocky Flats. Nevertheless, some of the review times specified in the detailed schedule provided with the above referenced letter seem either unnecessary or better accomplished as concurrent activities. It has been agreed by DOE, EPA and CDH that, especially for draft documents, concurrent reviews should be used to streamline environmental restoration projects. With this in mind, we are granting the following extensions to the IAG milestones:

<u>Deliverable</u>	<u>Milestone</u>
Draft CMS/FS	August 25, 1994
Final CMS/FS	November 22, 1994
Draft PP	November 22, 1994
Final PP	February 24, 1995
Responsiveness Summary	June 23, 1995
Final RS	September 22, 1995
Draft CAD/ROD	September 22, 1995
Final CAD/ROD	December 22, 1995

So that you can better understand how these dates were determined, we are returning a marked up copy of the detailed GANT chart. As you will see, only the review activities are modified or eliminated in the schedule, with a net savings of 50 working days before the

ATTACHED

A-DU01-000843

MHS
20-5



Printed on Recycled Paper



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION VIII

999 18th STREET - SUITE 500
DENVER, COLORADO 80202-2466

'94 MAR 2 AM 10 54

Ref: 8HWM-FF

Mr. Richard Schassburger
Department of Energy
Rocky Flats Office
P.O. Box 928
Golden, Colorado 80402-0928

FEB 25 1994

RE: Operable Unit 1 Extension Request

Dear Mr. Schassburger:

This is in response to your letter of February 8, 1994, in which DOE requested extensions to the Interagency Agreement (IA) Table 6 milestones for Operable Unit 1 (OU 1). EPA and CDH find good cause to grant an extension to the schedule primarily based on the last reason cited, which is the need to incorporate recent efforts to develop a consistent, programmatic approach for conducting CMS/FS across all OUs at Rocky Flats. Nevertheless, some of the review times specified in the detailed schedule provided with the above referenced letter seem either unnecessary or better accomplished as concurrent activities. It has been agreed by DOE, EPA and CDH that, especially for draft documents, concurrent reviews should be used to streamline environmental restoration projects. With this in mind, we are granting the following extensions to the IAG milestones:

<u>Deliverable</u>	<u>Milestone</u>
Draft CMS/FS	August 25, 1994
Final CMS/FS	November 22, 1994
Draft PP	November 22, 1994
Final PP	February 24, 1995
Responsiveness Summary	June 23, 1995
Final RS	September 22, 1995
Draft CAD/ROD	September 22, 1995
Final CAD/ROD	December 22, 1995

So that you can better understand how these dates were determined, we are returning a marked up copy of the detailed GANT chart. As you will see, only the review activities are modified or eliminated in the schedule, with a net savings of 50 working days before the

02973

MHS
20-5




Printed on Recycled Paper

draft CMS/FS submittal date of August 25, 1994. In addition, EPA and CDH are adding ten days to the review times which DOE allotted them in the GANT chart. Even with this addition, the regulatory agencies are still scheduled for less review time than DOE will have.

The agencies are granting DOE the full time requested for evaluating alternatives against the nine criteria and the comparative analysis of alternatives for OU 1. However, these tasks can probably be conducted during the agencies' review of technical memorandum 11 and this will be considered for the schedules of future operable units. In addition, the NEPA review of alternatives task should be deleted from the CMS/FS schedule. A NEPA review of CERCLA documents is not necessary and was not considered in this milestone extension request. Beyond the November 22, 1994 milestone date, the remaining deliverables are adjusted to agree with the time allotted for them in the Interagency Agreement, Table 6.

If you have any questions regarding these matters, please contact either Gary Kleeman at 294-1071 or Jeff Swanson at 692-3416.

Sincerely,



Martin Hestmark, EPA
Manager
Rocky Flats Project



Gary W. Baughman, CDH
Chief
Facilities Section
Hazardous Waste Control Program

Enclosure

cc: Tim Reeves, DOE
Zeke Houk, EG&G
Jeff Swanson, CDH

Enclosure 2 page 2

ACTIVITY ID	ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	TREATABILITY/FEASIBILITY STUDY			
					1993	1994	1995	
00020C1420	INCORPORATE DOE COMMENTS	10	6APR94	19APR94	4 Apr	□		
00020C1440	FINAL DOE REVIEW ON DRAFT TH#11	5	20APR94	26APR94		□		
00020C1450	INCORPORATE COMMENTS	5	27APR94	3MAY94		□		
00020C1460	DELIVER TH#11 TO EGG/DOE, EPA/CDII	1	4MAY94	4MAY94	5 Apr			
00020C1462	EPA/CDII REVIEW OF TH#11	20	10 MAY94	18MAY94	3 May	□		
00020C1464	MEETING WITH EPA/CDII	1	19MAY94	19MAY94	4 May			
00020C1466	COMMENT RESOLUTION	10	20MAY94	3JUN94	18 May	□		
00020C2270	ANALYZE ALTERNATIVES AGAINST 9 CRITERIA (TASK 10)	20	6JUN94	1JUL94	16 Jun	□		
00020C2290	NEPA REVIEW OF ALTERNATIVES	20	6JUN94	1JUL94	16 Jun	□		
00020C2272	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ALTER AGAINST 9 CRITERIA	10	5JUL94	18JUL94	30 Jun	□		
00020C2274	MEETING WITH EPA/CDII	1	19JUL94	19JUL94	1 Jul			
00020C2276	PREPARE RECOMMENDED RAP	7	20JUL94	20JUL94	13 Jul	□		
00020C2300	PREPARE PRELIMINARY DRAFT CMS/FS - EA REPORT	20	29JUL94	25AUG94	10 Aug	□		
00020C2320	EGG/DOE REVIEW OF PREL. DRAFT CMS/FS-EA REPORT	20	26AUG94	23SEP94		□		
00020C2330	ADDRESS COMMENTS & PREPARE DRAFT CMS/FS-EA RPT	10	26SEP94	7OCT94	24 Aug	□		
00020C2340	RE-SUBMIT DRAFT CMS/FS-EA REPORT TO DOE	0		7OCT94		◇		
00020C2350	FINAL DOE REVIEW OF CMS/FS-EA REPORT	20	10OCT94	4NOV94		□		
00020C2170	SUBMIT DRAFT CMS/FS-EA REPORT TO EPA/CDII	1	5 NOV94	11NOV94	25 Aug			
00020C4000	PREPARE PRELIMINARY DRAFT PROPOSED PLAN	15	7NOV94	29NOV94	16 Sep	□		
00020C2180	EPA/CDII REVIEW OF DRAFT CMS/FS-EA REPORT(& DOE)	30	20 NOV94	13DEC94	7 Oct.	□		
00020C4010	DOE REVIEW PRELIMINARY DRAFT PROPOSED PLAN	20	30NOV94	1JAN95	17 Oct	□		
00020C2190	PREPARE DRAFT FINAL CMS/FS-EA RPT	15	14DEC94	11JAN95	31 Oct	□		
00020C4020	INCORPORATE COMMENTS	10	5JAN95	18JAN95	31 Oct	□		
00020C2200	DOE REVIEW DRAFT FINAL CMS/FS-EA REPORT	15	20 JAN95	8FEB95	22 Nov	□		
00020C4022	FINAL DOE REVIEW DRAFT PROPOSED PLAN	10	19JAN95	1FEB95	15 Nov	□		
00020C4025	INCORPORATE COMMENTS	5	2FEB95	8FEB95	22 Nov	□		
00020C2210	DOE TRANSMITTAL OF DRAFT FINAL CMS/FS-EA REPORT	0		8FEB95	22 Nov	◇		
00020C4030	SUBMIT DRAFT PROPOSED PLAN	0		8FEB95	22 Nov	◇		